Docket Number R-1723 and RIN Number 7100-AF94

To Whom it May Concern:

The Federal Reserve Board (Fed) must strengthen the rigor of CRA exams to promote recovery from the COVID-19 pandemic. The Fed has described approaches in its Advance Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (ANPR) on CRA that will make CRA exams more objective and transparent. Yet, questions remain about whether the Fed's approach will reduce the high rate of CRA inflation. If nearly every bank continues to pass their CRA exams, banks will not engage in strenuous efforts to help communities of color and low- and moderate-income (LMI) neighborhoods recover from the pandemic's devastation.

The undersigned organizations support the views of this letter. We work daily in promoting reinvestment and fair lending in underserved communities across the country.

Strengthening CRA is a critical component of a just recovery

The National Community Reinvestment Coalition (NCRC) recently released a major report finding significant correlations between redlining and susceptibility to COVID-19. In the 1930s, the Home Owners Loan Corporation (HOLC) created maps that rated neighborhoods based on the risk of lending in them. Working-class neighborhoods and communities of color usually received the riskiest designation of hazardous. The designations subsequently facilitated redlining and discrimination against these neighborhoods, which remain starved of credit and are predominantly lower-income and minority. These neighborhoods also have the highest incidence of health conditions such as asthma, diabetes, kidney disease and stroke, which make residents more susceptible to COVID-19. Life expectancy is almost four years lower in the redlined communities than the neighborhoods not designated as hazardous by HOLC.

Since the start of the pandemic, the number of <u>Black business owners dropped by 440,000</u>, or 41%, compared to just a 17% decline in white small business owners. Discrimination in lending contributes significantly to racial disparities in small business survival rates. An NCRC <u>investigation</u> found that African American testers applying for Paycheck Protection Program (PPP) loans for their small businesses during the pandemic were likely to receive less information or encouragement to apply than white testers. CRA must be strengthened considerably to combat discrimination and help our communities recover from the pandemic.

The Federal Reserve proposal must be strengthened to prevent grade inflation

However, it is unclear if the Fed's ANPR proposals will address CRA ratings inflation. The Fed emphasizes improving the performance measures on CRA exams, including those used on the lending test that compare a bank's percent of loans to LMI borrowers and communities to other lenders. However, the Fed proposes thresholds that appear to reproduce the high ratings on CRA exams. The Fed does not describe in any detail the impact of its initial thresholds on CRA ratings and hints the thresholds replicate the current CRA ratings distribution.

Moreover, the Fed is proposing to reduce the number of ratings on a state level and on subtests from five to four. This proposal would result in fewer distinctions in performance, whereas a new CRA exam system must reveal more distinctions in performance in order to motivate banks to be more responsive to COVID-19 recovery needs. Five ratings must be retained on the state level and on subtests. In addition, a point scale that can reveal more distinctions in performance should supplement the overall ratings.

The Federal Reserve proposal should be strengthened to increase lending to people of color

The Fed recognizes the importance of addressing racial inequities. It asks the public whether underserved areas should be designated based on high levels of poverty or low levels of retail lending. We support NCRC's designation of underserved census tracts based on low levels of lending, which would effectively target neighborhoods redlined because of the HOLC classifications.

We also ask the Fed to consider explicitly including race on CRA exams. The agencies have hesitated to do so, but we believe that the CRA statute allows this since the law emphasizes banks meeting credit needs in all communities, particularly underserved ones. CRA exams could include performance measures assessing lending, investing, branching and services to people of color and communities of color. In addition, CRA exams can include racial and ethnic demographic data in performance context analysis and require banks to affirmatively include communities of color in their assessment areas (geographical areas on CRA exams). The Fed could also provide CRA consideration for community development lending and investing in majority minority census tracts outside of assessment areas, just as the Fed is considering for Indian Country and other underserved areas.

Assessment areas must support and reflect a commitment to local lending, investments and services

We support the Fed's proposals to expand assessment areas on CRA exams. In addition to areas around branches, assessment areas must also include areas outside of branches with significant amounts of bank lending or deposit-taking. We do not support the idea of a national assessment area for internet banks that the Fed discusses. Instead, we believe that data analysis can designate areas where high numbers of retail loans or deposits are located. Because redlining is a local phenomenon, banks, including branchless banks or those that have online and branch operations, must have local assessment areas for evaluating their performance.

We applaud the Fed proposal to eliminate distinctions between full-scope and limited-scope assessment areas. Full-scope assessment areas, which are usually the largest cities, count more on current CRA exams than limited-scope areas that generally are smaller cities and rural counties. Often, communities of color, Native American communities, and other underserved communities continue to receive less CRA-related loans and investments because they are in limited-scope areas.

CRA modernization must maintain its focus on lower-income communities and better target communities of color

Unlike the Office of the Comptroller (OCC), the Fed generally does not stray away from the focus on LMI communities in its ANPR proposals. However, we do not support expanding financial education for clients of any income level as suggested by the Fed since low- and moderate-income (LMI) consumers and people of color are most likely to be unbanked or underbanked, as revealed by surveys conducted by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC).

The Fed can designate additional subgroups in the population such as people of color, people with disabilities or older adults for whom CRA credit for financial education or other community development activity can be earned instead of opening it up to everyone regardless of need. Likewise, the Fed should further develop its procedures for awarding CRA credit for financing affordable housing that is not subsidized so that such financing actually serves LMI tenants.

Collecting improved community development and deposit data

Finally, the Fed should pursue its proposals to collect improved community development and deposit data. Community development and deposit data should be collected on a census tract level or at least on a county level so that CRA exams can better target community development financing to areas of need.

Conclusion

We are appreciative of the direction the Fed has embarked on its ANPR, but caution that the Fed must not end up with a set of proposals that replicate existing CRA ratings inflation as this will not help our communities devastated by COVID-19. We believe that this proposal serves as an important starting point for an interagency rulemaking that will strengthen CRA and take a critical step towards more financially resilient communities and an equitable recovery.

Sinerely,

Natioanl Groups

National Community Reinvestment Coalition (NCRC)

Better Markets

Center for Community Progress

Community Reinvestment Fund, USA

Consumer Action

Consumer Federation of America

Families USA

NAACP

NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund, Inc. (LDF)

National Association for Latino Community Asset Builders

National Association of Real Estate Brokers (NAREB)

National Consumer Law Center (on behalf of our low-income clients)

National Housing Resource Center

National NeighborWorks Association

National Urban League

Opportunity Finance Network

Public Citizen

Small Business Majority

The Leadership Conference on Civil and Human Rights

U.S. Conference of Mayors

U.S. PIRG Education Fund

State Groups

Arizona

Arizona Housing Coalition

California

Build Healthy Places Network

CAARMA

California Reinvestment Coalition

CDC Small Business Finance

EAH Housing

FACES Family Assessment Counseling & Education Services Inc.

Grounded Solutions Network

Pacific Community Ventures

Peoples' Self-Help Housing

The Greenlining Institute

United Way of Kern County

Vermont Slauson Economic Development Corporation

Colorodo

Mi Casa Resource Center

Delaware

Delaware Community Reinvestment Action Council, Inc.

Florida

Affordable Homeownership Foundation, Inc.

Community Reinvestment Alliance of South Florida

H.E.L.P. Community Development Corp

Metro North Community Development Corp.

New Urban Development LLC

Georgia

Georgia Advancing Communities Together, Inc.

Hawaii

Hawai'i Alliance for Community-Based Economic Development

Illinois

American Muslim Health Professionals Brighton Park Neighborhood Council Housing Action Illinois The Resurrection Project Universal Housing Solutions CDC Woodstock Institute Continuum of Care Network NWI, Inc..

Indiana

Lafayette Neighborhood Housing Services, Inc. dba HomesteadCS Northwest Indiana Reinvestment Alliance Prosperity Indiana

Massachusetts

Massachusetts Communities Action Network

Maryland

Housing Options & Planning Enterprises, Inc. Maryland Consumer Rights Coalition

Missouri

Consumers Council of Missouri Metropolitan St. Louis Equal Housing and Opportunity Council R.A.A. - Ready, Aim, Advocate

Mississippi

Covenant Faith Outreach Ministries Inc./Covenant CDC

North Carolina

Centre for Homeownership & Economic Development Henderson and Company Kingdom Community Development Corporation Rebuild Durham Inc Reinvestment Partners The Collaborative of NC

New Jersey

New Jersey Citizen Action

New Mexico

Southwest Neighborhood Housing Services

New York

Association for Neighborhood and Housing Development Fair Finance Watch Fifth Avenue Committee, Inc. Neighborhood Housing Services of Queens CDC Neighbors Helping Neighbors, Inc. Rural Housing Opportunities Corp.

Ohio

City of Toledo Home Repair Resource Center Homes on the Hill, CDC Maumee Valley Habitat for Humanity Perfecting Saints Heart to Heart Ministries, INC Village Capital Corporation

Oregon

CASA of Oregon Housing Oregon

Pennsylvania

BLWDC

Ceiba

Coalition of African Communities (AFRICOM) Community Action Committee of the Lehigh Valley Community Legal Services of Philadelphia Lower Marshall-Shadeland Development Initaitive Pennsylvania Home Lending Collaborative, INC

Rhode Island

HousingWorks RI

Tennessee

United Housing
Our Casas Resident Council Inc.

Texas

Southern Dallas Progress Community Development Corporation

Wisconsin

Cassandra M Flagg Consulting Services LLC

Community Advocates, Inc.

Disability Justice

Foxxy Locs LLC

Greater Milwaukee National Association of Hispanic Nurses

Green Homeowners United

Harambee Neighborhood Improvement District #7

Havenwoods EDC

KLD Construction Management Services, LLC

Lloyd Consulting, Inc.

Metropolitan Milwaukee Fair Housing Council

Milwaukee Christian Center

Milwaukee Habitat for Humanity

Milwaukee Muslim Women's Coalition

MKE United - Housing Committee

Prism Economic Development Corporation

Safe & Sound

SecureFutures Foundation, Inc.

The Greater Milwaukee Foundation

The Milwaukee Christian Center

Urban Economic Development Association of Wisconsin(UEDA))

Wisconsin Faith Voices for Justice